JOURNAL OF APPROXIMATION THEORY 26, 269-276 (1979)

Harmonic Functions with Polynomial Growth on Lattice Points

D. H. Armitage

Department of Pure Mathematics, The Queen's University of Belfast, Belfast BT7 1NN, Northern Ireland

Communicated by Oved Shisha

Received November 9, 1977

1. INTRODUCTION

Let f be either an entire function or a real-valued harmonic function in the Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^n $(n \ge 2)$. We say that f is of exponential type α , where $0 \le \alpha < \infty$, if

 $\limsup_{r\to\infty} r^{-1}\log \mathcal{M}(f,r) = \alpha,$

where $\mathcal{M}(f; r)$ is the supremum of |f| over the circle or sphere of radius r centered at the origin of **C** (the complex plane) or \mathbb{R}^n . Thus, constant functions are of exponential type 0 (by convention, even if the constant is 0). The spaces of all entire functions and all harmonic functions in \mathbb{R}^n of exponential type at most α are denoted by $\mathscr{E}(\alpha)$ and $\mathscr{H}_n(\alpha)$, respectively. The space of all polynomials of degree at most q ($q \ge 0$) in one complex variable is denoted by \mathscr{P}_q , and the space of all real-valued polynomials of degree at most q in \mathbb{R}^n is denoted by $\mathscr{P}_{n,q}$. Throughout this note p denotes a positive number, and [p] is the greatest integer not exceeding p.

The following result is due to Valiron [9] (or see, e.g., Boas [4, p. 183]).

THEOREM A. If $f \in \mathscr{E}(0)$ and

 $f(z) = O(|z|^p)$

as $|z| \to \infty$ through integer values, then $f \in \mathscr{P}_{[p]}$.

This theorem fails if f is supposed to be harmonic in the plane, rather than entire. For example, the harmonic function in C,

$$z \rightsquigarrow \operatorname{Im} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (j!)^{-2} z^j$$
269

is of exponential type 0 (see, e.g., Titchmarsh [8, p. 255]) and vanishes on the real axis. However, we shall show that there are harmonic analogues of Theorem A in which, for example, the function is required to have polynomial growth on two copies of the integers embedded in C. More generally, we shall work in \mathbb{R}^n . Our proofs make use of certain results in [3]. I am grateful to the referee for pointing out that some of the results we require can also be found in the papers of Rao [7] and Zeilberger [10].

Before stating our results, we introduce some further notations. An arbitrary point of \mathbb{R}^n is denoted by $X = (x_1, ..., x_n)$, and we put

$$|X| = (x_1^2 + \dots + x_n^2)^{1/2}.$$

Throughout this paper m denotes an integer such that $1 \le m \le n-1$, and we put

$$E^{m} = \{X \in \mathbf{R}^{n} \colon x_{m+1} = \cdots = x_{n} = 0\}$$

and

$$I^m = \{ X \in E^m \colon x_1, \dots, x_m \in \mathbf{Z} \},\$$

where Z is the set of all integers. If G_1 and G_2 are subsets of \mathbb{R}^n , we put

$$G_1 + G_2 = \{X + Y : X \in G_1, Y \in G_2\}.$$

The Alexandroff point (at infinity) of \mathbb{R}^n is denoted by \mathscr{A} and the origin of \mathbb{R}^n is denoted by O.

The result from which our harmonic analogues of Theorem A will be deduced is as follows.

THEOREM 1. If $h \in \mathscr{H}_n(0)$ and

$$h(X) = O(|X|^p)$$

as $X \to \mathscr{A}$ through I^m , then the restriction of h to E^m is a polynomial of degree at most [p] in $x_1, ..., x_m$.

The result fails if $h \in \mathscr{H}_n(\alpha)$, where $\alpha > 0$.

Using the case m = n - 1 of this theorem together with certain other results, we shall prove the following theorems.

THEOREM 2. Let Z be a point of $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus E^{n-1}$. If $h \in \mathscr{H}_n(0)$ and

$$h(X) = O(|X|^p)$$

as $X \to \mathscr{A}$ through the set $I^{n-1} + \{O, Z\}$, then $h \in \mathscr{P}_{n, \lfloor p \rfloor + 1}$. The maximal degree $\lfloor p \rfloor + 1$ of h is best possible.

THEOREM 3. Let Z_0 be a point of E^{n-1} . If $h \in \mathscr{H}_n(0)$ and there exist positive numbers p and p' such that

$$h(X) = O(|X|^p)$$

as $X \to \mathscr{A}$ through the set I^{n-1} and

$$\frac{\partial h}{\partial x_n}(X) = O(|X|^{p'})$$

as $X \to \mathscr{A}$ through the set $I^{n-1} + \{Z_0\}$, then $h \in \mathscr{P}_{n,p^*}$, where $p^* = \max\{[p], [p'] + 1\}$. The value of p^* is best possible.

2. A LEMMA ON INTERPOLATION

To prepare the way for the proof of Theorem 1 in the cases where $2 \le m \le n-1$, we prove the following.

LEMMA 1. Let g be a real-valued function on E^m $(n \ge 3, m \ge 2)$ such that the restriction of g to any line of the form

$$\{X \in E^m : x_i = k_i, i \neq j\}$$
 $(j = 1, ..., m; k_i \in \mathbb{Z})$

is a polynomial (in one variable) of degree at most q, where q is a fixed nonnegative integer. Then there exists a polynomial P in \mathbb{R}^n such that P = gon I^m .

Let X_j $(j = 1, ..., (q + 1)^m)$ be the elements of the set

$$\{X \in I^m : 0 \leqslant x_i \leqslant q \ (i = 1, ..., m)\}$$

in some order, and let x_{ji} be the *i*th coordinate of X_j . Define P in \mathbb{R}^n by the equation

$$P(x_1,...,x_n) = \sum_{j=1}^{(q+1)^m} \prod_{i=1}^m \prod_{\substack{\nu_i=0\\\nu_i\neq x_{ji}}}^q \left(\frac{x_i-\nu_i}{x_{ji}-\nu_i}\right) g(X_j).$$

Then P is a polynomial in \mathbb{R}^n (depending only on $x_1, ..., x_m$) and $P(X_j) = g(X_j)$ for each j. We show, by induction on μ , that if $1 \leq \mu \leq m$, then P = g on the set

$$J^{\mu} = \{X \in I^m : 0 \leqslant x_i \leqslant q \ (\mu < i \leqslant m)\}.$$

Suppose first that $\mu = 1$ and that $Y \in J^1$. The restriction of P to the line

$$L_1 = \{X \in E^m \colon x_i = y_i \ (2 \leqslant i \leqslant m)\}$$

is a polynomial of degree at most q in x_1 . Further, L_1 contains q + 1 of the points X_j . Since $P(X_j) = g(X_j)$, the restriction of P - g to L_1 is a polynomial of degree at most q in x_1 with at least q + 1 zeroes. Hence P = g on L_1 , and therefore, since Y is arbitrary, on J^1 .

Now suppose that $1 \le \mu < n$, that P = g on J^{μ} and that $Y \in J^{\mu+1}$. The restriction of P to the line

$$L_2 = \{X \in E^m : x_i = y_i \ (1 \leqslant i \leqslant m, i \neq \mu + 1)\}$$

is a polynomial of degree at most q in $x_{\mu+1}$. Further, L_2 contains q + 1 points of J^{μ} . Since P = g on J^{μ} , the restriction of P - g to L_2 is a polynomial of degree at most q in $x_{\mu+1}$ with at least q + 1 zeroes. Hence P = g on L_1 , and therefore, since Y is arbitrary, on $J^{\mu+1}$. The induction is complete.

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1

We start with the case m = 1. To prove this case, we recall an earlier result. Writing $D^{j} = \partial^{j}/\partial x_{1}^{j}$ (j = 1, 2,...), we have the following lemma [3, Theorem 6].

LEMMA A. If h is harmonic in \mathbb{R}^n and

$$\mathcal{M}(h;r) = O(e^{\beta r}) \qquad (r \to \infty),$$

where $\beta > 0$, then

$$D^{j}h(O) = O(j^{n-3/2}\beta^{j}) \qquad (j \rightarrow \infty).$$

Suppose that h satisfies the hypotheses of Theorem 1 with m = 1. Define a function $f: \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$ by the equation

$$f(z) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} D^{j} h(O)(j!)^{-1} z^{j}$$

Using the hypothesis that $h \in \mathscr{H}_n(0)$ and Lemma A, we easily obtain $f \in \mathscr{E}(0)$. Also, since h is given in the whole of \mathbb{R}^n by its multiple Taylor series about O (see e.g. Brelot [5, Appendix]), we have in particular

$$f(x) = h(x, 0, ..., 0) \qquad (x \in \mathbf{R}), \tag{1}$$

so that

$$f(z) = O(|z|^p)$$

as $|z| \to \infty$ through integer values. Hence, by Theorem A, $f \in \mathscr{P}_{[p]}$, and therefore, by (1), the restriction of h to E^1 is a polynomial of degree at most [p] in x_1 .

It remains to prove the theorem in the cases where $2 \le m \le n - 1$. In preparation for the proof of these cases, we quote some further lemmas.

LEMMA B. If $h \in \mathscr{H}(0)$ and $Y \in \mathbb{R}^n$, then the function

$$X \rightsquigarrow h(X + Y) \qquad (X \in \mathbf{R}^n)$$

belongs to $\mathscr{H}(0)$.

This is a simple consequence of the maximum principle.

LEMMA C. If $P \in \mathscr{P}_{n,q}$, then there exists a harmonic element H of $\mathscr{P}_{n,q}$ such that H = P on E^{n-1} .

This is well-known and follows, for example, from [2, Lemma 7]. Finally, we recall a weak form of [3, Theorem 1] (see also [7] and [10]).

LEMMA D. If
$$h \in \mathscr{H}_m(0)$$
 and $h = 0$ on I^m , then $h = 0$ on E^m .

Suppose now that $n \ge 3$, $2 \le m \le n-1$ and that h satisfies the hypotheses of Theorem 1. By Lemma B, if $Y \in I^m$, then the function given by (2) satisfies the hypotheses of the theorem. A fortiori, this function satisfies the hypotheses with m = 1, so that, by the case already proved, its restriction to E^1 is a polynomial of degree at most [p], i.e., the restriction of h to the line

$$\{X \in E^m : x_i = y_i \ (2 \le i \le m)\}$$

is a polynomial of degree at most [p]. Generalizing this argument in a trivial way, we see that h satisfies the hypotheses of Lemma 1 with q = [p]. Hence there is a polynomial P in \mathbb{R}^n such that h = P on I^m . By Lemma C, there is a harmonic polynomial H in \mathbb{R}^n such that H = P on E^{n-1} , and therefore H = h on I^m . Since $H - h \in \mathscr{H}_n(0)$, Lemma D now gives H = h on E^m . Hence the restriction of h to E^m is a polynomial in $x_1, ..., x_m$. It remains to prove that the degree of this polynomial is at most [p]. Let its degree be sand let h_s be its homogeneous part of degree s. Then there exist a nondegenerate semi-infinite cone C of vertex O in \mathbb{R}^n and a positive number λ such that

$$|h(X)| \geq \frac{1}{2} |h_s(X)| \geq \lambda |X|^s \qquad (X \in C \cap E^m, \lambda |X| > 1).$$

Since C contains infinitely many points of I^m , we must have $s \leq p$, else we contradict the hypotheses of the theorem.

To show that the theorem fails if $h \in \mathscr{H}_n(\alpha)$, where $\alpha > 0$, it is enough to observe that the function

$$X \rightsquigarrow \cosh \alpha x_1 \sin \alpha x_n$$

belongs to $\mathscr{H}_n(\alpha)$ and vanishes on E^{n-1} and therefore on I^m for each m = 1, ..., n-1.

4. PROOFS OF THEOREMS 2 AND 3

To prove Theorem 2 we shall need the following case of a result of Brelot and Choquet [6, Theorem 6] (or see [1, Theorem 4]).

LEMMA E. If $P \in \mathscr{P}_{n,q}$ and $Z \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus E^{n-1}$, then there exists a harmonic element H of $\mathscr{P}_{n,q}$ such that H = P on $E^{n-1} + \{O, Z\}$.

We shall also need the following weak form of [3; Theorem 2] (see also [7] and [10]).

LEMMA F. Let $Z_1 = (0,..., 0, 1)$. If $h \in \mathcal{H}_n(0)$ and h = 0 on $E^{n-1} + \{O, Z_1\}$, then h = 0.

Now suppose that h satisfies the hypotheses of Theorem 2. By Theorem 1, the restriction of h to E^{n-1} is a polynomial P_1 , say, of degree at most [p] in $x_1, ..., x_{n-1}$. By Lemma B, we can use a translation argument to deduce similarly that the restriction of h to $E^{n-1} + \{Z_1\}$ is a polynomial P_2 , say, of degree at most [p] in $x_1, ..., x_{n-1}$. Now define an element P of $\mathscr{P}_{n, [p]+1}$ by the equation

$$P(x_1,...,x_n) = (a - x_n) a^{-1} P_1(x_1,...,x_{n-1}) + x_n a^{-1} P_2(x_1,...,x_{n-1}),$$

where *a* is the *n*th coordinate of *Z*. Then the restriction of *P* to E^{n-1} is P_1 and the restriction of *P* to $E_{n-1} + \{Z\}$ is P_2 . Hence P = h on $E^{n-1} + \{O, Z\}$. By Lemma E, there is a harmonic element *H* of $\mathscr{P}_{n, \lfloor p \rfloor + 1}$ such that H = P = h on $E^{n-1} + \{O, Z\}$. Now define a function h^* in \mathbb{R}^n by the equation

$$h^*(X) = (H - h)(aX).$$

Then, clearly, $h^* \in \mathscr{H}_n(0)$ and $h^* = 0$ on $E^{n-1} + \{O, Z_1\}$. By Lemma F, $h^* = 0$, and hence h = H.

To show that the degree [p] + 1 cannot be improved, observe that the function

$$X \rightsquigarrow \operatorname{Im}(x_1 + ix_n)^{[p]+1} \qquad (X \in \mathbf{R}^n)$$

is a harmonic polynomial of degree exactly [p] + 1 and satisfies the hypotheses of the theorem.

Now suppose that h satisfies the hypotheses of Theorem 3. By Theorem 1, the restriction of h to E^{n-1} is a polynomial P_3 , say, of degree at most [p] in $x_1, ..., x_{n-1}$. Since $\partial h/\partial x_n \in \mathscr{H}_n(0)$ [3, Lemma 3], Lemma B allows us to use a translation argument to obtain that the restriction of $\partial h/\partial x_n$ to E^{n-1} is a polynomial P_4 , say, of degree at most [p'] in $x_1, ..., x_{n-1}$. Define a function H in \mathbb{R}^n by the equation

$$H(X) = \sum_{j=0}^{\left[\frac{1}{4}p\right]} (-1)^{j} ((2j)!)^{-1} x_{n}^{2j} \Delta^{j} P_{3}(x_{1}, ..., x_{n-1}) \\ + \sum_{j=0}^{\left[\frac{1}{4}p'\right]} (-1)^{j} ((2j+1)!)^{-1} x_{n}^{2j+1} \Delta^{j} P_{4}(x_{1}, ..., x_{n-1}),$$

where Δ^{j} is the *j*th iterated Laplacian in the variables $x_{1}, ..., x_{n-1}$. It is easy to show by computation that *H* is a harmonic element of $\mathscr{P}_{n,p^{*}}$ and that H = h and $\partial H/\partial x_{n} = \partial h/\partial x_{n}$ on E^{n-1} (see [2, Lemmas 7 and 8]). A simple argument involving the reflection principle shows that H - h vanishes together with all its partial derivatives on E^{n-1} and hence that h = H.

To show that the degree p^* cannot be improved observe that the function

$$X \rightsquigarrow \operatorname{Re}(x_1 + ix_n)^{[p]} + \operatorname{Im}(x_1 + ix_n)^{[p']+1} \qquad (X \in \mathbf{R}^n)$$

is a harmonic polynomial of degree exactly p^* and satisfies the hypotheses of Theorem 3.

REFERENCES

- 1. D. H. ARMITAGE, A linear function from a space of polynomials onto a space of harmonic polynomials, J. London Math. Soc. (2) 5 (1971), 529-538.
- 2. D. H. ARMITAGE, On harmonic polynomials, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) 34 (1977), 173-192.
- 3. D. H. ARMITAGE, Uniqueness theorems for harmonic functions which vanish at lattice points, J. Approximation Theory 26 (1979), 259-268.
- 4. R. P. BOAS, JR., "Entire Functions," Academic Press, New York, 1954.
- 5. M. BRELOT, "Éléments de la théorie classique du potentiel," 3ème édition, Centre de Documentation Universitaire, Paris, 1965.

D. H. ARMITAGE

- M. BRELOT AND G. CHOQUET, Polynômes harmoniques et polyharmoniques, in "Deuxième Colloque sur les Équations aux Dérivées Partielles," Bruxelles, 1954, pp. 45-66.
- 7. N. V. RAO, Carlson theorem for harmonic functions in \mathbb{R}^n , J. Approximation Theory 12 (1974), 309–314.
- 8. E. C. TITCHMARSH, "The Theory of Functions," 2nd ed., Oxford Univ. Press, Oxford 1939.
- 9. G. VALIRON, Sur la formule d'interpolation de Lagrange, Bull. Sci. Math. (2) 49 (1925), 181-192.
- 10. D. ZEILBERGER, Uniqueness theorems for harmonic functions of exponential growth, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 61 (1976), 335-340.